Patterns in Elementary-Age Student Responses to Personalized & Generic Code Comprehension Questions

Jean Salac, Qi Jin, Zipporah Klain, Saranya Turimella, Max White, & Diana Franklin

University of Chicago

Share your thoughts:

STweet @SaladwithaC #TIPP&SEE

Whova App





Computing for ANyONe: Designing for equity and inclusion

Have you ever encountered someone who has code that works correctly, but doesn't know why?

Artifacts are integral to CS/CT instruction.



Common Assessment Techniques: Pros & Cons

Artifact analysis:

- + Student code integration (e.g. Scrape, Hairball, Dr Scratch)
- + Fast
- Students use code they do not understand (Brennan et al., 2012)
- Students may understand a concept, but choose not to include it

Written assessments:

- + Most expedient choice (Burke et al, 2012, Franklin et al., 2013, Gordon et al., 2012, Lewis et al., 2012, Meerbaum et al., 2013)
- Not many validated assessments for elementary computing Interviews:
- + Most complete & personalized picture (Brennan et al., 2012)
- Prohibitively time-consuming

Personalized: Written assessments with Student Code



Asking students about their own code introduces an interesting conundrum.

Mystery Function list = [26, 17, 89, 40] for item in list: if item%2==0: print item

Student A

"It prints out the even numbers in the list." <u>Student B</u> "It makes list equal to 26, 17, 89, & 40. For each item in the list, it checks if item modulo 2 equals zero. If yes, print."

Outline

Motivation & Related Work Theoretical Framework Study Design Results Schulte's Block Model explains the types of code comprehension.



who remixes projects

who build their projects

Asking students about their own code introduces a conundrum between structural & functional understanding.

Mystery Function list = [26, 17, 89, 40] for item in list: if item%2==0: print item

<u>Student A</u>

"It prints out the even numbers in the list." <u>Student B</u>

"It makes list equal to 26, 17, 89, & 40. For each item in the list, it checks if item modulo 2 equals zero. If yes, print."

Outline

Motivation & Related Work Theoretical Framework Study Design Results

PAWS Tool: Personalized Assessment Generation

"Personalized Assessment Worksheets for Scratch"

Start with a written assessment with generic code snippets

Searches Scratch projects for candidate code

"Candidate code": Different requirements based on the question

Random assignment of personalized or generic code

Our study took place over 2 years in urban schools.

Ist year: 316 4th-graders (ages 9-10)

2nd year: 329 3rd-5th graders (ages 9-12)

3 CT modules in Scratch: events, sequence, & loops

Assessment at the end of each module:

Guided by the Evidence-Centered Design framework

Domain analysis was guided by CS K-12 framework &

K-8 learning trajectories

Designed by CS & education researchers & practitioners

Quantitative Analysis: Big Picture

ANOVA F-test: Personalization influence on assessment scores

for those with candidate code.

p-value is the probability that results are by chance.

Type 3 Sum of Squares accounts for imbalance.

Qualitative analysis: Detailed Picture

Broke down results more fine-grained than scores

Personalized questions were cross-referenced with artifacts.

 X^2 test: Dependency between treatments & response categories.

Free-response questions: Kappa inter-rater reliability >= 80%.

Fisher's exact test: Proportion of personalized or generic responses with a specific attribute

Outline

Motivation & Related Work Theoretical Framework Study Design Results

Research Question:

How does code source (generic or project) affect student answers?

No statistically-significant differences in performance scores

Patterns from more fine-grained, qualitative analysis:

When asked multiple-choice questions: Individual blocks integrated into generic script Whole scripts in project context

When asked open-ended questions: Explain in Plain English questions "when do you use loops" questions

Integrating personalized "Say" blocks into the generic script

Question: Circle the "Say" block that will be run last.



Generic Code

Personalized Code

Individual blocks should not be taken out of context.



Personalized Code

Students who answered wrong

circled last block in their project.

Blocks out of context triggered a

mismatch between functional &

structural understanding.

Unrolling a loop from their code

Similar performance between generic & personalized code



Generic Code

Personalized Code

Swapping out generic scripts with personalized scripts

Question: Circle all the scripts that run when you click the sprite.



Personalized Code

Students with personalized code were more likely to choose some or all correct options.

	AII X	Some 🗸 Some X	Some 🗸 No X	All ✓ No X
YI: Personalized	15.6%	9.0%	20.7%	54.1%
YI: Generic	25.7%	13.3%	11.5%	49.6%
Y2: Personalized	15.3%	9.0%	3.6%	72.1%
Y2: Generic	23.7%	15.8%	0%	60.4%

We asked students about a script from their code.

Personalized Code



Year I Open-Ended Structure:

What will this script make the sprite do?

Year 2 Scaffolded Structure:

What do you do to make the script run? (different event options)

What will this script make the sprite do?

First, ____

Next, _____

Last,

Students with personalized code answered with a functional, not structural, answer.

Generic Code:



Student Response: "First, the sprite meows. Next, it says 'I'm hungry' Last, it walks 20 steps." Personalized Code: when this sprite clicked glide 1 secs to x: 170 y: -2 glide 1 secs to x: -190 y: -7 glide 1 secs to x: 18 y: 119

Student Response: "It walks back and forth then stops."

Students were asked why they used a loop. Generic Personalized How do you know to use a Why did you choose to use this loop? loop? How did you choose the Twice as likely to answer number in the loop? with the general purpose of a loop

More likely to: cite a specific use of loops cite a benefit of using a loop (e.g. saves time, shorter code)

The conundrum between the forms of understanding invites further study.



Asking about their own code challenges assumptions about EiPE questions.

Getting young students to articulate the different kinds of understanding is tricky.

Patterns in Elementary-Age Student Responses to Personalized & Generic Code Comprehension Questions

Jean Salac, Qi Jin, Ziporah Klain, Saranya Turimella, Max White, & Diana Franklin Let's continue the conversation!

𝗊@SaladwithaC

Key Contributions:

New assessment technique --- integrating student code into written assessments

When asked about their own code, students answered:

some multiple-choice & fill-in-the-blank questions differently

free-response questions with a functional, instead of

structural understanding